

**NON-TENURE PATHWAY** 

ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR TO PROFESSOR

Name:	:Date:	Dept.:
	General Information and G	Goals Worksheet
Mented • •	ee: Please prepare the following along with this form Time and Effort Worksheet (use the form approperong Promotion Criteria Worksheet (use the form approperong the complete and updated CV	priate for your appointment)
1.	What is your current Rank? Instructor Assistant Professor Associate Professor	
2.	What is your current Pathway? Basic Scientist Tenure Basic Scientist Non-Tenure Clinical Scientist Tenure Clinical Scientist Non-Tenure Clinical Educator Tenure Clinical Educator Non-Tenure Clinical Attending	
3.	When were you appointed to this Rank and Path	way?
4.	In what year do you plan on applying for promo	tion to the next Rank?
5.	What are your plans for the upcoming year?  a. Research project goals	
	b. Anticipated publications (indicate projec	ted titles)

c. Anticipated meeting or workshop attendance

	d.	Funding applications planned (indicate name of award)
	e.	Other professional training (course work, teaching activities)
	f.	Clinical practice goals
	g.	Clinical research goals (papers submitted, projects initiated)
6.	What a	are you career goals?
	a.	Current career goals?
	b.	What further research activity or other training is needed before it is appropriate to go up for promotion to the next rank?
	c.	Are there any perceived barriers that limit your capacity for promotion? Explain.

## Criteria for Appointment and Promotion on each Compensated Pathway

(As is written in the Promotion and Tenure Criteria and Guidelines 2011)

The ability of the College to meet its mission is dependent on the successful participation of every faculty member, regardless of assigned academic pathway. The seven academic pathways exist because the scope of academic medicine is very broad. The pathways help individual faculty members and the College Promotion and Tenure Committee categorize and assess the accomplishments of each faculty member. The pathways adequately describe the expected contributions of the majority of the College's faculty members. There are, however, individuals who contribute significantly to the College whose work is not easily characterized by any of the pathways. It is the responsibility of the Department Chairs and the Dean to appoint these individuals, on a case-by-case basis, to the pathway that best fits their expertise, career goals and expected contributions. It is the shared responsibility of these individuals and their Department Chairs to ensure that when these faculty members seek promotion and/or tenure, the value of their contributions is adequately assessed by peers from within and without the College who can clarify for the College's Promotion and Tenure Committee whether these individuals have satisfied the criteria for promotion, appreciating that their field of expertise and expected scholarly contributions are not perfectly described by the criteria of their assigned pathway.

Each physician who is appointed on a clinical pathway and who is involved in the care of patients is expected to achieve and maintain certification in his/her cognate specialty and/or subspecialty board(s). For appointment at the rank of Assistant Professor, a faculty member who has recently completed residency is expected to be on a defined path, approved by the Department Chair, for board certification. Faculty members who trained abroad and who are not eligible for United States board certification are expected to have attained the equivalent of US board certification in the country in which they trained, as determined by the Department Chair. Those faculty members who are not eligible for US maintenance of board certification are expected to demonstrate to the College Promotion and Tenure Committee, by other means, their ongoing commitment to the maintenance of their clinical excellence.

Each psychologist who is appointed on a clinical pathway and who is involved in the care of patients is expected to maintain his/her state license and manifest ongoing commitment to the maintenance of clinical excellence.

Four tables presented herein specify the College's expectations for appointment or promotion at the ranks of Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, and Professor on the seven compensated pathways. These tables must be interpreted within the context of this entire document and do not stand alone. In all domains of academic work, the quality of an individual's contributions is of critical importance. Guidance is given in these tables about the generally expected quantity of an individual's contributions. However quantity is not a substitute for quality. The College appreciates, for example, that some individuals will dedicate themselves to extensive periods of study that result in fewer but very important and high quality science and related publications than are stipulated in these guidelines. Several measures of the quality and importance of such contributions, including and not limited to

recognition by experts in an individual's field of expertise, will be helpful to the College Promotion and Tenure Committee in assessing such individual's fulfillment of the criteria for promotion.

The required letters of recommendation from experts within the candidate's field, referenced within the following tables, must be written by academic authorities who have neither trained nor employed the candidate (e.g., letter authors must have been neither teachers, thesis advisors, residency program directors, fellowship program directors, major mentors, supervisors nor employers of the person requesting promotion and/or tenure). Letters of recommendation in addition to those required may be submitted from individuals who have taught and/or employed the candidate if these add substantially to the dossier.

All faculty members, regardless of rank or pathway, are expected to conduct themselves professionally at all times and to promote the institutional core values of integrity, respect, teamwork, creativity, and excellence.

Full Promotion and Tenure Guidelines can be located on the Office of Faculty Affairs website at <a href="http://www.uams.edu/facultyaffairs/Promotionandtenure/default.asp">http://www.uams.edu/facultyaffairs/Promotionandtenure/default.asp</a>

Name:	Date:	Dept.:						
	Time and Effort Distribution Worksheet: Clinical Attending							
	Mentee: Please check the upcoming promotion applicable to you, and fill out the column titled "Yours".  Mentor: Please provide assessment and comments.							
	Assistant to Associate in Non-Tenure Pathway	Associate to Full in Non-Tenure Pathway						

	Range	Typically	Yours	Assessment (Check one)			Comments
	%	%		Adequate	Too little	Too much	
Teaching/Mentoring (Total) <sup>1</sup>	0-30	20					
Didactic Teaching	0-2	1					
Bedside Teaching	0-30	20					
Research	0-10	5					
Clinical Service (Total) <sup>2</sup>	70-100	90					
Direct Patient Care	70-100	70					
Bedside Teaching <sup>3</sup>	0-30	20					
Leadership/Admin. Service	5-10	5					

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Total Teaching/Mentoring Time combines Didactic and Bedside Teaching
 <sup>2</sup> Total clinical Service Time combines Direct Patient Care and Bedside Teaching
 <sup>3</sup> Bedside Teaching is counted in both Teaching/Mentoring and Clinical Service categories

Name:	Date:	Dept.:
-------	-------	--------

## Promotion Criteria Worksheet: Clinical Attending Non-Tenure Pathway Associate Professor to Full Professor

Mentor: Please indicate whether the candidate is "Likely" or "Unlikely" to full fill the following criteria by the time of planned promotion and provide comments.

Category	Criteria	Likely	Unlikely	Comments
Qualifications	Promotion to the rank of Professor is an honor conferred on those who have demonstrated a consistent and high quality of clinical practice over many years. Candidates must meet all of the criteria outlined for the rank of Associate Professor and have accomplishments in clinical service and/or teaching/mentoring and leadership/administrative service.			
	Scholarship is encouraged.			
Teaching/Mentoring – If to have a role in teaching	Recognition for being an excellent teacher of medical students, residents, fellows or continuing medical education participants.  Continued evidence of being an effective role model and mentor for students, trainees and colleagues.			
Research/Scholarly Work  – Encouraged but not required	Collaboration in clinical research, including recruitment of patients for clinical trials  Co-author of reviews, case reports, reports of clinical investigation			

Category	Criteria	Likely	Unlikely	Comments
Research/Scholarly Work	Development of curricular or other			
- Encouraged but not	teaching materials, including materials for			
required	patients and families			
Clinical Service	Highly regarded as a leader in a clinical			
	practice, department, hospital, healthcare			
	system			
	M.D.s – board certification – see text			
	within page 11 of this document			
	Local, regional, and national reputation			
	for excellence in clinical practice and/or			
	as an authority in a clinical field			
	Demonstrated excellence in clinical care			
	as documented in clinical outcomes,			
	and/or patient and peer feedback			
	Demonstrated practice of current			
	standards of patient care and/or			
	development of standards for patient care			
	Continued active participations and/or			
	leadership is local, regional, and/or			
	national professional organizations			
Leadership/Administrative	Demonstration of collegiality and			
Service	leadership in arena of practice,			
	department or institution.			
	Leadership role in provision of clinical			
	care in department or hospital			
	Active involvement in appropriate			
	administrative committees in the affiliated			
	hospitals and the College of Medicine			
	Leadership, service or committee work			
	for local, regional, and/or national			
	professional organizations			
	Sustained community service			

Category	Criteria	Likely	Unlikely	Comments
<b>Professional Recognition</b>	The Department Chair, on behalf of a			
	candidate for promotion to Assoc			
	Professor, must present to the Promotion			
	& Tenure Committee at least three letters			
	of recommendation, based on assessment			
	of the candidate's contributions to			
	academic medicine, from recognized			
	authorities in candidate's field from			
	within or outside of UAMS, typically			
	Professors, who have neither trained nor			
	employed the candidate. At least one			
	letter of recommendation must be from			
	outside UAMS and attest to the			
	candidate's national reputation and			
	contributions.			